



COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
KENDALL COUNTY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values


Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Kendall County

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Kendall County.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent
Native	102,622	90.1	79.7
Foreign Born	11,303	9.9	20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Kendall County

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Kendall County

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	31,835	79.8	2,017,730	65.2
Single Parent with Child	3,495	8.8	262,216	8.5
Non-Family	8,047	20.2	1,077,363	34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Kendall County

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Kendall County		CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	1,967	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	29	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	34	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	1,904	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	7,026	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	648	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	1,590	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	4,788	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	6,845	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	2,019	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	1,816	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	3,010	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	23,738	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	13,704	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	8,335	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	1,699	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	36	44
Transportation Costs	24	26
H + T Costs	60	70

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Kendall County

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Kendall County.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Kendall County

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Kendall County.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Kendall County

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Kendall County.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		1.16	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF KENDALL COUNTY RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Retail Trade	7,268	12.1
Health Care	6,579	11.0
Education	6,280	10.5
Manufacturing	6,248	10.4
Wholesale Trade	4,297	7.2
By Employment Location		
DuPage County	14,706	24.5
Cook County	14,263	23.8
Kane County	9,812	16.3
Kendall County	7,734	12.9
Will County	6,125	10.2

EMPLOYMENT IN KENDALL COUNTY, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Retail Trade	4,470	18.8
Education	4,184	17.6
Accommodation and Food Service	2,386	10.0
Transportation	2,322	9.7
Health Care	1,538	6.5
By Residence Location		
Kendall County	7,734	32.5
Kane County	3,310	13.9
Will County	2,953	12.4
Cook County	2,220	9.3
DuPage County	2,039	8.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Kendall County

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Kendall County. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	20,251.1	9.8
Multi-Family Residential	185.6	0.1
Commercial	1,656.8	0.8
Industrial	2,270.4	1.1
Institutional	1,717.2	0.8
Mixed Use	31.0	0.0
Transportation and Other	10,213.7	4.9
Agricultural	157,425.1	76.3
Open Space	6,980.9	3.4
Vacant	5,608.1	2.7
TOTAL	206,339.9	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	N/A
-------------	-----

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Kendall County

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Kendall County revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 2,336,235,004
Commercial	\$ 298,185,370
Industrial	\$ 79,933,808
Railroad	\$ 4,014,905
Farm	\$ 121,124,183
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 2,839,493,270

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Kendall County

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	89.2	75.4	71.2
Hispanic or Latino	7.5	15.2	17.6
Black non-Hispanic	1.3	4.4	6.4
Asian non-Hispanic	0.9	2.8	3.2
All other categories	1.1	2.1	1.6

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	90.8	90.1
Foreign Born	9.2	9.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	33.4	31.9
20 to 34	20.5	18.9
35 to 49	24.7	24.0
50 to 64	14.3	15.8
65 and Older	7.1	9.3
Median Age*	32.7	34.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Kendall County

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	8.0	8.0
High School Graduate or Equivalency	26.5	22.4
Some College, No Degree	24.5	24.8
Associate's Degree	8.7	10.1
Bachelor's Degree	22.1	22.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	10.2	12.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	84.0	82.5
Language other than English	16.0	17.5
Speak English less than "very well"	5.1	5.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	84.0	82.5
Spanish	11.6	12.2
Slavic Languages	0.7	0.6
Chinese	0.2	0.4
Tagalog	0.4	0.6
Arabic	0.1	0.4
Korean	0.1	0.2
Other Asian Languages	1.1	0.5
Other Indo-European Languages	1.7	2.0
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.1	0.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Kendall County

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	16.5	16.0
2 People Household	29.4	31.2
3 People Household	17.4	17.2
4 or More People Household	36.7	35.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	79.2	79.8
Single Parent with Child	8.2	8.8
Non-Family	20.8	20.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 89,813	\$ 89,860

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Kendall County

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	94.4	96.2
Owner-Occupied*	80.9	78.7
Renter-Occupied*	13.4	17.5
Vacant Housing Units	5.6	3.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	5.0	5.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.1	0.1
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.2	0.1
<i>30 percent or more</i>	4.7	4.8
\$20,000 to \$49,999	18.8	17.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	2.4	1.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	3.5	4.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	12.9	12.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	21.2	17.3
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	4.3	5.1
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	5.2	4.6
<i>30 percent or more</i>	11.7	7.6
\$75,000 or More	55.0	60.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	22.8	34.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	21.8	21.1
<i>30 percent or more</i>	10.3	4.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Kendall County

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	76.2	75.1
Single Family, Attached	14.8	14.7
2 Units	1.0	1.4
3 or 4 Units	1.8	1.4
5 or more Units	6.0	7.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	4.5	5.0
2 Bedrooms	18.1	16.1
3 Bedrooms	39.0	40.2
4 Bedrooms	33.1	33.0
5+ Bedrooms	5.3	5.7
Median Number of Rooms*	6.8	6.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	47.6	52.9
Built 1970 to 1999	32.4	32.3
Built 1940 to 1969	12.6	9.7
Built Before 1940	7.4	5.1
Median Year Built	1998	2001

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Kendall County

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	2.3	1.8
1 Vehicle Available	21.8	21.2
2 Vehicles Available	51.2	51.7
3 or More Vehicles Available	24.8	25.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	87.8	87.6
Carpool	7.4	7.6
Transit	3.3	3.3
Walk or Bike	0.8	0.7
Other	0.6	0.8
TOTAL COMMUTERS	49,641.0	59,305.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	34.1	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	75.6	73.5
Employed†*	93.1	95.0
Unemployed*	6.6	5.0
Not In Labor Force	24.4	26.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Kendall County

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Kendall County	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(2050 Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	44.9%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	84.0%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	52.4%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	27.9%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.30	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	15.8%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

For more information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
LISBON, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assesed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Lisbon

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Lisbon.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Lisbon	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	376	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	119	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	3.2	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	14.9	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	31.9	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	51.6	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	353	93.9	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	11	2.9	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	2	0.5	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	0	0.0	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	10	2.7	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	150	39.9	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	76	20.2	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	56	14.9	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	57	15.2	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	21	5.6	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	13	3.5	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	3	0.8	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	29.0		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Lisbon

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	5	2.3	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	83	38.6	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	49	22.8	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	24	11.2	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	42	19.5	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	12	5.6	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	301	97.1		90.1		79.7
Foreign Born	9	2.9		9.9		20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	288	92.9	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	22	7.1	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	2	0.6	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	288	92.9	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	10	3.2	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	10	3.2	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	2	0.6	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Lisbon

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	17	14.3	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	38	31.9	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	16	13.4	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	48	40.3	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Family	99	83.2	79.8	65.2
Single Parent with Child	15	12.6	8.8	8.5
Non-Family	20	16.8	20.2	34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	13	10.9	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	33	27.7	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	25	21.0	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	33	27.7	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	11	9.2	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	4	3.4	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$60,313		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Lisbon

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	119	93.0	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	99	77.3	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	20	15.6	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	9	7.0	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Lisbon		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	11	9.4	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	11	9.4	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	33	28.2	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	11	9.4	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	7	6.0	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	15	12.8	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	25	21.4	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	8	6.8	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	7	6.0	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	10	8.5	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	48	41.0	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	36	30.8	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	6	5.1	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	6	5.1	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	33	41
Transportation Costs	27	30
H + T Costs	60	72

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org/.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Lisbon

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Lisbon.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	112	87.5	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	0	0.0	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	2	1.6	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	12	9.4	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	0	0.0	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	0	0.0	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	18	14.1	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	64	50.0	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	43	33.6	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	3	2.3	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	6.3		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	8	6.2	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	38	29.7	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	32	25.0	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	50	39.1	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	1956		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Lisbon

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Lisbon.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	0	0.0	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	8	6.7	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	77	64.7	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	34	28.6	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	5	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	150	93.8	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	4	2.5	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	0	0.0	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	2	1.2	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	4	2.5	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	160	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Lisbon	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	N/A	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Lisbon

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Lisbon.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Lisbon		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	169	69.8	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	166	98.2	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	3	1.8	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	73	30.2	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Lisbon		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF LISBON RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Health Care	25	16.2
Education	16	10.4
Retail Trade	15	9.7
Manufacturing	15	9.7
Construction	12	7.8
By Employment Location		
Joliet	19	12.3
Naperville	12	7.8
Chicago	11	7.1
Aurora	8	5.2
Plainfield	7	4.5

EMPLOYMENT IN LISBON, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Education	26	74.3
Manufacturing	5	14.3
Public Administration	4	11.4
Information	0	N/A
Mining	0	N/A
By Residence Location		
Joliet	6	17.1
Aurora	4	11.4
Minooka	3	8.6
Yorkville	2	5.7
Bolingbrook	1	2.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Lisbon

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Lisbon. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	69.5	6.3
Multi-Family Residential	0.5	0.0
Commercial	2.8	0.3
Industrial	161.7	14.6
Institutional	13.0	1.2
Mixed Use	0.2	0.0
Transportation and Other	22.9	2.1
Agricultural	833.2	75.4
Open Space	N/A	N/A
Vacant	0.8	0.1
TOTAL	1,104.5	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Lisbon	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	0.0	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	N/A
-------------	-----

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Lisbon

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Lisbon revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Lisbon	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 802,992	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 807,618	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 2,148	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 4,365,531
Commercial	\$ 322,084
Industrial	\$ 187,291
Railroad	\$ 0
Farm	\$ 351,999
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 5,226,905

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisbon

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	98.0	86.3	93.9
Hispanic or Latino	1.6	13.7	2.9
Black non-Hispanic	0.0	0.0	0.5
Asian non-Hispanic	0.0	0.0	0.0
All other categories	0.4	0.0	2.7

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	93.1	97.1
Foreign Born	6.9	2.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	29.0	27.4	39.9
20 to 34	17.3	14.7	20.2
35 to 49	21.8	21.2	14.9
50 to 64	16.5	18.5	15.2
65 and Older	15.3	18.2	9.8
Median Age*	38.1	41.4	29.0

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisbon

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	17.5	12.9	2.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	38.8	53.6	38.6
Some College, No Degree	28.7	18.0	22.8
Associate's Degree	3.8	5.7	11.2
Bachelor's Degree	6.9	7.7	19.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	4.4	2.1	5.6

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	84.1	92.9
Language other than English	15.9	7.1
Speak English less than "very well"	10.4	0.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	84.1	92.9
Spanish	12.8	3.2
Slavic Languages	2.4	0.0
Chinese	0.0	3.2
Tagalog	0.7	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.0	0.6
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisbon

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	28.3	14.3
2 People Household	31.1	31.9
3 People Household	17.0	13.4
4 or More People Household	23.6	40.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	65.1	83.2
Single Parent with Child	5.7	12.6
Non-Family	34.9	16.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 69,204	\$ 60,313

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisbon

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	94.8	89.8	93.0
Owner-Occupied*	82.3	74.6	77.3
Renter-Occupied*	12.5	15.3	15.6
Vacant Housing Units	5.2	10.2	7.0

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	7.5	9.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	3.8	0.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	3.8	9.4
\$20,000 to \$49,999	25.5	28.2
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	4.7	9.4
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	8.5	6.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	12.3	12.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	26.4	21.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	13.2	6.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	5.7	6.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	7.5	8.5
\$75,000 or More	37.7	41.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	25.5	30.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	12.3	5.1
<i>30 percent or more</i>	0.0	5.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisbon

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Single Family, Detached	96.6	87.5
Single Family, Attached	0.8	0.0
2 Units	0.0	1.6
3 or 4 Units	0.0	9.4
5 or more Units	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	0.0	0.0
2 Bedrooms	8.5	14.1
3 Bedrooms	68.6	50.0
4 Bedrooms	19.5	33.6
5+ Bedrooms	3.4	2.3
Median Number of Rooms*	6.1	6.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	1.7	6.2
Built 1970 to 1999	35.6	29.7
Built 1940 to 1969	19.5	25.0
Built Before 1940	43.2	39.1
Median Year Built	1954	1956

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Lisbon

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	6.6	0.0
1 Vehicle Available	22.6	6.7
2 Vehicles Available	44.3	64.7
3 or More Vehicles Available	26.4	28.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	90.9	93.8
Carpool	6.6	2.5
Transit	0.0	0.0
Walk or Bike	2.5	1.2
Other	0.0	2.5
TOTAL COMMUTERS	121.0	160.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	61.3	69.8
Employed†*	87.0	98.2
Unemployed*	13.0	1.8
Not In Labor Force	38.7	30.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Lisbon

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF LISBON*

Primary Water Source: nan**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	N/A	N/A	N/A
Residential Sector	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Residential Sector	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Lisbon			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	N/A	N/A	N/A	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Lisbon

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sewer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Lisbon

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Lisbon	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	36.3%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	83.1%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.32	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	N/A	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$165.34	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	No	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	6.7%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Lisbon

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmaphillinois.org. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
MILLBROOK, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assesed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Millbrook

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Millbrook.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Millbrook	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	380	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	149	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	2.6	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	N/A	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	13.4	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	N/A	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	353	92.9	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	22	5.8	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	0	0.0	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	2	0.5	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	3	0.8	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	69	18.2	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	70	18.4	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	70	18.4	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	117	30.8	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	37	9.7	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	12	3.2	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	5	1.3	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	47.1		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Millbrook

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	13	4.6	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	92	32.3	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	82	28.8	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	41	14.4	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	45	15.8	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	12	4.2	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	364	98.1	90.1	90.1	79.7	79.7
Foreign Born	7	1.9	9.9	9.9	20.3	20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	357	96.2	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	14	3.8	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	5	1.3	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	357	96.2	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	12	3.2	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	2	0.5	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Millbrook

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	35	23.5	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	61	40.9	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	21	14.1	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	32	21.5	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	99	66.4	12,457	79.8	939,153	65.2
Single Parent with Child	5	3.4	6,857	8.8	486,277	8.5
Non-Family	50	33.6	3,342	20.2	511,294	34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	13	8.7	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	40	26.8	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	23	15.4	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	22	14.8	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	21	14.1	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	30	20.1	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$73,125		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Millbrook

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	149	97.4	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	126	82.4	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	23	15.0	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	4	2.6	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Millbrook		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	9	6.1	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	9	6.1	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	42	28.6	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	6	4.1	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	7	4.8	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	29	19.7	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	23	15.6	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	12	8.2	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	2	1.4	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	9	6.1	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	73	49.7	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	49	33.3	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	23	15.6	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	1	0.7	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	38	47
Transportation Costs	27	30
H + T Costs	65	77

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Millbrook

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Millbrook.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	144	94.1	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	2	1.3	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	3	2.0	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	0	0.0	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	0	0.0	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	9	5.9	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	18	11.8	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	84	54.9	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	32	20.9	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	10	6.5	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	6.8		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	9	5.9	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	78	51.0	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	41	26.8	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	25	16.3	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	1974		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Millbrook

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Millbrook.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	2	1.3	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	29	19.5	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	68	45.6	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	50	33.6	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	28	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	134	87.6	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	13	8.5	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	0	0.0	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	5	3.3	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	1	0.7	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	153	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Millbrook	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	N/A	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Millbrook

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Millbrook.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Millbrook		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	220	64.5	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	190	86.4	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	30	13.6	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	121	35.5	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Millbrook		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF MILLBROOK RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Education	22	15.3
Wholesale Trade	17	11.8
Retail Trade	16	11.1
Manufacturing	14	9.7
Health Care	12	8.3
By Employment Location		
Chicago	16	11.1
Yorkville	14	9.7
Aurora	14	9.7
Naperville	9	6.2
Oswego	8	5.6

EMPLOYMENT IN MILLBROOK, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Agriculture	25	43.9
Accommodation and Food Service	20	35.1
Finance	7	12.3
Real Estate	5	8.8
Arts and Entertainment	0	N/A
By Residence Location		
Yorkville	8	14.0
Plano	6	10.5
Aurora	5	8.8
Oswego	4	7.0
Joliet	3	5.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Millbrook

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Millbrook. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	136.6	10.2
Multi-Family Residential	N/A	N/A
Commercial	8.2	0.6
Industrial	N/A	N/A
Institutional	10.9	0.8
Mixed Use	N/A	N/A
Transportation and Other	36.2	2.7
Agricultural	1,025.2	76.9
Open Space	101.5	7.6
Vacant	14.3	1.1
TOTAL	1,332.8	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Millbrook	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	0.0	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	N/A
-------------	-----

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Millbrook

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Millbrook revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Millbrook	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 1,995,912	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 2,009,901	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 5,289	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 6,665,329
Commercial	\$ 916,084
Industrial	\$ 0
Railroad	\$ 0
Farm	\$ 746,365
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 8,327,778

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millbrook

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	N/A	94.7	92.9
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	5.3	5.8
Black non-Hispanic	N/A	0.0	0.0
Asian non-Hispanic	N/A	0.0	0.5
All other categories	N/A	0.0	0.8

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	96.2	98.1
Foreign Born	3.8	1.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	N/A	23.2	18.2
20 to 34	N/A	16.2	18.4
35 to 49	N/A	25.9	18.4
50 to 64	N/A	24.1	30.8
65 and Older	N/A	10.5	14.2
Median Age*	N/A	42.3	47.1

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millbrook

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	N/A	0.0	4.6
High School Graduate or Equivalency	N/A	37.0	32.3
Some College, No Degree	N/A	26.6	28.8
Associate's Degree	N/A	5.8	14.4
Bachelor's Degree	N/A	26.6	15.8
Graduate or Professional Degree	N/A	3.9	4.2

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	92.5	96.2
Language other than English	7.5	3.8
Speak English less than "very well"	1.9	1.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	92.5	96.2
Spanish	6.6	3.2
Slavic Languages	0.0	0.0
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.9	0.5
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millbrook

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	20.9	23.5
2 People Household	31.4	40.9
3 People Household	20.9	14.1
4 or More People Household	26.7	21.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	79.1	66.4
Single Parent with Child	3.5	3.4
Non-Family	20.9	33.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 83,185	\$ 73,125

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millbrook

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	N/A	100.0	97.4
Owner-Occupied*	N/A	93.0	82.4
Renter-Occupied*	N/A	7.0	15.0
Vacant Housing Units	N/A	0.0	2.6

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	4.7	6.1
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	4.7	6.1
\$20,000 to \$49,999	24.4	28.6
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	8.1	4.1
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.0	4.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	16.3	19.7
\$50,000 to \$74,999	23.3	15.6
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	5.8	8.2
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	10.5	1.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	7.0	6.1
\$75,000 or More	47.7	49.7
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	33.7	33.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	5.8	15.6
<i>30 percent or more</i>	8.1	0.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millbrook

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Single Family, Detached	96.5	94.1
Single Family, Attached	3.5	1.3
2 Units	0.0	2.0
3 or 4 Units	0.0	0.0
5 or more Units	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	0.0	5.9
2 Bedrooms	14.0	11.8
3 Bedrooms	64.0	54.9
4 Bedrooms	17.4	20.9
5+ Bedrooms	4.7	6.5
Median Number of Rooms*	6.6	6.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	3.5	5.9
Built 1970 to 1999	58.1	51.0
Built 1940 to 1969	10.5	26.8
Built Before 1940	27.9	16.3
Median Year Built	1983	1974

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millbrook

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	0.0	1.3
1 Vehicle Available	19.8	19.5
2 Vehicles Available	40.7	45.6
3 or More Vehicles Available	39.5	33.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	85.9	87.6
Carpool	5.5	8.5
Transit	1.6	0.0
Walk or Bike	7.0	3.3
Other	0.0	0.7
TOTAL COMMUTERS	128.0	153.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	71.5	64.5
Employed†*	97.8	86.4
Unemployed*	2.2	13.6
Not In Labor Force	28.5	35.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Millbrook

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF MILLBROOK*

Primary Water Source: nan**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	N/A	N/A	N/A
Residential Sector	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Residential Sector	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Millbrook			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	N/A	N/A	N/A	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Millbrook

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sewer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Millbrook

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Millbrook	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	34.4%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	74.3%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.22	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	N/A	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$212.56	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	No	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	25.4%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Millbrook

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmapi.illinois.gov. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
MILLINGTON, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

This profile includes partial estimates for Millington. This geography falls partially outside of the CMAP Region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Millington

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Millington.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Millington	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	664	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	259	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	2.6	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	45.2	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	-0.2	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	45.0	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	629	94.7	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	35	5.3	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	0	0.0	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	0	0.0	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	0	0.0	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	163	24.5	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	121	18.2	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	181	27.3	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	137	20.6	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	52	7.8	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	5	0.8	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	5	0.8	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	40.5		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Millington

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	24	5.1	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	213	45.5	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	110	23.5	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	34	7.3	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	68	14.5	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	19	4.1	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	623	99.5	90.1		79.7	
Foreign Born	3	0.5	9.9		20.3	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	602	96.2	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	24	3.8	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	5	0.8	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	602	96.2	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	17	2.7	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	7	1.1	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Millington

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	53	20.5	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	123	47.5	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	26	10.0	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	57	22.0	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	184	71.0	12,457	79.8	939,153	65.2
Single Parent with Child	8	3.1	6,857	8.8	486,277	8.5
Non-Family	75	29.0	3,342	20.2	522,872	34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	47	18.1	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	55	21.2	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	40	15.4	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	32	12.4	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	55	21.2	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	30	11.6	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$66,250		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Millington

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	259	97.4	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	236	88.7	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	23	8.6	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	7	2.6	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Millington		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	31	12.6	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	2	0.8	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	29	11.8	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	58	23.6	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	8	3.3	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	11	4.5	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	39	15.9	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	40	16.3	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	17	6.9	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	15	6.1	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	8	3.3	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	117	47.6	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	89	36.2	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	26	10.6	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	2	0.8	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	30	37
Transportation Costs	28	31
H + T Costs	57	68

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Millington

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Millington.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	251	94.4	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	3	1.1	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	3	1.1	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	0	0.0	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	0	0.0	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	4	1.5	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	48	18.0	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	160	60.2	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	47	17.7	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	7	2.6	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	6.4		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	110	41.4	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	74	27.8	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	12	4.5	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	70	26.3	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	1988		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Millington

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Millington.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	3	1.2	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	43	16.6	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	122	47.1	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	91	35.1	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	9	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	279	90.3	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	24	7.8	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	0	0.0	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	3	1.0	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	3	1.0	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	309	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Millington	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	N/A	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Millington

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Millington.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Millington		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	375	71.7	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	328	87.5	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	47	12.5	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	148	28.3	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Millington		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF MILLINGTON RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Health Care	15	12.3
Manufacturing	14	11.5
Retail Trade	14	11.5
Professional	12	9.8
Wholesale Trade	10	8.2
By Employment Location		
Aurora	20	16.4
Chicago	13	10.7
Oswego	7	5.7
Naperville	5	4.1
Yorkville	5	4.1

EMPLOYMENT IN MILLINGTON, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Transportation	43	71.7
Agriculture	7	11.7
Manufacturing	7	11.7
Accommodation and Food Service	3	5.0
Information	0	N/A
By Residence Location		
Yorkville	9	15.0
Oswego	5	8.3
Joliet	4	6.7
Aurora	2	3.3
Plainfield	1	1.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Millington

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Millington. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	49.5	32.7
Multi-Family Residential	N/A	N/A
Commercial	4.3	2.8
Industrial	0.6	0.4
Institutional	8.1	5.3
Mixed Use	0.3	0.2
Transportation and Other	28.5	18.8
Agricultural	43.0	28.4
Open Space	15.1	10.0
Vacant	2.0	1.3
TOTAL	151.3	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Millington	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	0.0	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	N/A
-------------	-----

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Millington

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Millington revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Millington	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 357,012	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 491,749	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 741	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 9,775,032
Commercial	\$ 320,294
Industrial	\$ 0
Railroad	\$ 13,768
Farm	\$ 133,511
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 10,242,605

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millington

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	95.0	93.4	94.7
Hispanic or Latino	3.3	6.6	5.3
Black non-Hispanic	0.7	0.0	0.0
Asian non-Hispanic	0.4	0.0	0.0
All other categories	0.7	0.0	0.0

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	100.0	99.5
Foreign Born	0.0	0.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	33.6	35.0	24.5
20 to 34	20.1	26.8	18.2
35 to 49	23.1	16.4	27.3
50 to 64	13.1	15.9	20.6
65 and Older	10.0	5.9	9.3
Median Age*	32.0	29.3	40.5

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millington

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	25.7	20.5	5.1
High School Graduate or Equivalency	49.6	45.7	45.5
Some College, No Degree	17.9	23.8	23.5
Associate's Degree	3.4	6.7	7.3
Bachelor's Degree	2.2	3.0	14.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	1.1	0.4	4.1

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	97.9	96.2
Language other than English	2.1	3.8
Speak English less than "very well"	1.6	0.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	97.9	96.2
Spanish	0.3	2.7
Slavic Languages	0.3	0.0
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	1.6	1.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millington

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	11.1	20.5
2 People Household	23.4	47.5
3 People Household	12.7	10.0
4 or More People Household	52.8	22.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	87.3	71.0
Single Parent with Child	9.9	3.1
Non-Family	12.7	29.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 64,637	\$ 66,250

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millington

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	97.4	97.3	97.4
Owner-Occupied*	82.4	87.6	88.7
Renter-Occupied*	15.0	9.7	8.6
Vacant Housing Units	2.6	2.7	2.6

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	9.5	12.6
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	1.6	0.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	7.9	11.8
\$20,000 to \$49,999	23.4	23.6
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	7.5	3.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	2.4	4.5
<i>30 percent or more</i>	13.5	15.9
\$50,000 to \$74,999	33.7	16.3
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	5.6	6.9
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	5.6	6.1
<i>30 percent or more</i>	22.6	3.3
\$75,000 or More	32.5	47.6
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	14.7	36.2
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	13.5	10.6
<i>30 percent or more</i>	4.4	0.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millington

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Single Family, Detached	90.3	94.4
Single Family, Attached	2.7	1.1
2 Units	1.5	1.1
3 or 4 Units	0.0	0.0
5 or more Units	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	3.9	1.5
2 Bedrooms	18.1	18.0
3 Bedrooms	34.4	60.2
4 Bedrooms	43.2	17.7
5+ Bedrooms	0.4	2.6
Median Number of Rooms*	6.3	6.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	12.0	41.4
Built 1970 to 1999	47.1	27.8
Built 1940 to 1969	16.2	4.5
Built Before 1940	24.7	26.3
Median Year Built	1974	1988

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Millington

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	0.8	1.2
1 Vehicle Available	16.3	16.6
2 Vehicles Available	40.5	47.1
3 or More Vehicles Available	42.5	35.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	83.2	90.3
Carpool	15.7	7.8
Transit	0.0	0.0
Walk or Bike	1.0	1.0
Other	0.0	1.0
TOTAL COMMUTERS	381.0	309.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	73.4	71.7
Employed†*	87.6	87.5
Unemployed*	12.4	12.5
Not In Labor Force	26.6	28.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Millington

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF MILLINGTON*

Primary Water Source: nan**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	N/A	N/A	N/A
Residential Sector	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Residential Sector	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Millington			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	N/A	N/A	N/A	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Millington

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sewer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Millington

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Millington	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	25.9%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	79.7%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.17	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	N/A	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$156.68	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	No	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	11.3%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Millington

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
MINOOKA, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

This profile includes partial estimates for Minooka. This geography falls partially outside of the CMAP Region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Minooka

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Minooka.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Minooka	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	11,449	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	3,528	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	3.2	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	175.1	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	4.8	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	188.3	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	9,274	81.0	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	1,844	16.1	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	84	0.7	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	93	0.8	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	154	1.3	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	4,010	35.0	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	2,364	20.6	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	2,775	24.2	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	1,441	12.6	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	596	5.2	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	168	1.5	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	95	0.8	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	31.9		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Minooka

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	390	5.8	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	1,752	25.9	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	1,730	25.6	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	715	10.6	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	1,377	20.4	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	795	11.8	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	9,736	93.4		90.1		79.7
Foreign Born	688	6.6		9.9		20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	9,140	87.7	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	1,284	12.3	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	405	3.9	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	9,140	87.7	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	1,019	9.8	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	39	0.4	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	66	0.6	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	140	1.3	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	20	0.2	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Minooka

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	432	12.2	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	1,017	28.8	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	572	16.2	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	1,507	42.7	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	2,946	83.5	12,457	79.8	939,153	65.2
Single Parent with Child	293	8.3	1,257	8.8	93,153	8.5
Non-Family	582	16.5	3,242	20.2	516,125	34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	269	7.6	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	474	13.4	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	782	22.2	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	660	18.7	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	753	21.3	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	590	16.7	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$85,579		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Minooka

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	3,528	93.9	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	3,079	82.0	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	449	12.0	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	228	6.1	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Minooka		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	214	6.1	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	56	1.6	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	158	4.5	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	496	14.2	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	77	2.2	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	191	5.5	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	228	6.5	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	782	22.4	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	193	5.5	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	356	10.2	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	233	6.7	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	2,003	57.3	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	1,334	38.2	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	559	16.0	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	110	3.1	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	33	41
Transportation Costs	24	26
H + T Costs	57	67

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Minooka

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Minooka.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	2,733	72.8	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	738	19.6	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	91	2.4	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	26	0.7	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	106	2.8	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	0	0.0	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	574	15.3	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	1,759	46.8	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	1,259	33.5	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	164	4.4	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	7.0		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	2,411	64.2	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	1,076	28.6	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	201	5.4	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	68	1.8	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	2002		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Minooka

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Minooka.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	26	0.7	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	572	16.2	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	1,825	51.7	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,105	31.3	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	294	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	4,998	91.7	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	328	6.0	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	107	2.0	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	8	0.1	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	9	0.2	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	5,450	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Minooka	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	N/A	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Minooka

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Minooka.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Minooka		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	6,178	76.5	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	5,815	94.1	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	363	5.9	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	1,896	23.5	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Minooka		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF MINOOKA RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Health Care	181	12.3
Education	174	11.9
Retail Trade	163	11.1
Manufacturing	121	8.3
Construction	112	7.6
By Employment Location		
Joliet	220	15.0
Chicago	150	10.2
Naperville	52	3.5
Channahon	47	3.2
Aurora	42	2.9

EMPLOYMENT IN MINOOKA, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Transportation	241	77.7
Accommodation and Food Service	31	10.0
Construction	15	4.8
Wholesale Trade	10	3.2
Administration	6	1.9
By Residence Location		
Joliet	64	20.6
Chicago	17	5.5
Channahon	14	4.5
Aurora	10	3.2
New Lenox	7	2.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Minooka

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Minooka. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	478.6	24.3
Multi-Family Residential	N/A	N/A
Commercial	1.0	0.1
Industrial	98.2	5.0
Institutional	0.0	0.0
Mixed Use	N/A	N/A
Transportation and Other	369.3	18.7
Agricultural	829.0	42.1
Open Space	102.4	5.2
Vacant	91.1	4.6
TOTAL	1,969.7	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Minooka	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	3.1	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	N/A
-------------	-----

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Minooka

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Minooka revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Minooka	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 252,790,324	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 286,403,133	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 25,016	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 209,629,093
Commercial	\$ 23,558,768
Industrial	\$ 67,244,080
Railroad	\$ 256,889
Farm	\$ 398,222
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 301,087,052

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Minooka

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	96.1	81.8	81.0
Hispanic or Latino	2.8	12.7	16.1
Black non-Hispanic	0.2	3.8	0.7
Asian non-Hispanic	0.3	0.7	0.8
All other categories	0.6	1.0	1.3

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	95.5	93.4
Foreign Born	4.5	6.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	35.3	34.4	35.0
20 to 34	16.1	22.8	20.6
35 to 49	28.4	24.1	24.2
50 to 64	14.8	14.1	12.6
65 and Older	5.5	4.5	7.5
Median Age*	34.0	31.0	31.9

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Minooka

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	5.0	5.0	5.8
High School Graduate or Equivalency	29.0	27.8	25.9
Some College, No Degree	24.9	26.6	25.6
Associate's Degree	12.4	9.8	10.6
Bachelor's Degree	17.8	20.2	20.4
Graduate or Professional Degree	10.9	10.6	11.8

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	91.6	87.7
Language other than English	8.4	12.3
Speak English less than "very well"	2.9	3.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	91.6	87.7
Spanish	6.8	9.8
Slavic Languages	0.5	0.4
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.2	0.6
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.5	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.3	1.3
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.2	0.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Minooka

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	15.0	12.2
2 People Household	26.1	28.8
3 People Household	19.3	16.2
4 or More People Household	39.6	42.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	81.5	83.5
Single Parent with Child	11.6	8.3
Non-Family	18.5	16.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 90,295	\$ 85,579

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Minooka

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	98.3	97.4	93.9
Owner-Occupied*	83.0	89.2	82.0
Renter-Occupied*	15.2	8.2	12.0
Vacant Housing Units	1.7	2.6	6.1

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	6.0	6.1
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.2	1.6
<i>30 percent or more</i>	5.8	4.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	19.9	14.2
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	1.4	2.2
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	4.9	5.5
<i>30 percent or more</i>	13.6	6.5
\$50,000 to \$74,999	19.4	22.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	1.5	5.5
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	6.2	10.2
<i>30 percent or more</i>	11.7	6.7
\$75,000 or More	54.5	57.3
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	22.3	38.2
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	23.3	16.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	8.9	3.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Minooka

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	67.2	72.8
Single Family, Attached	27.9	19.6
2 Units	1.5	2.4
3 or 4 Units	0.5	0.7
5 or more Units	3.0	2.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	0.7	0.0
2 Bedrooms	26.4	15.3
3 Bedrooms	38.2	46.8
4 Bedrooms	29.7	33.5
5+ Bedrooms	5.0	4.4
Median Number of Rooms*	6.8	7.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	60.5	64.2
Built 1970 to 1999	31.8	28.6
Built 1940 to 1969	5.7	5.4
Built Before 1940	1.9	1.8
Median Year Built	2001	2002

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Minooka

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	1.9	0.7
1 Vehicle Available	24.5	16.2
2 Vehicles Available	44.0	51.7
3 or More Vehicles Available	29.6	31.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	90.1	91.7
Carpool	7.2	6.0
Transit	1.0	2.0
Walk or Bike	1.7	0.1
Other	0.0	0.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	4,635.0	5,450.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	31.8	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	79.7	76.5
Employed†*	94.0	94.1
Unemployed*	6.0	5.9
Not In Labor Force	20.3	23.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Minooka

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF MINOOKA*

Primary Water Source: Sandstone Groundwater**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	0.20	0.30	48.90
Residential Sector	0.10	0.20	48.30
Non-Residential Sector	0.10	0.20	49.50

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Minooka			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	74.7	67.4	-10.3	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Minooka

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$ 2.83	\$ 3.52	21.7	2.2
Sewer	\$ 4.34	\$ 5.37	21.2	2.2
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Minooka

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Minooka	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	42.7%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	84.5%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	15.2%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	15.2%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.38	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	67.4	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$396.77	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	12.8%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Minooka

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



CMAP

COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
NEWARK, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assesed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Newark

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Newark.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Newark	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	1,251	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	416	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	3.0	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	11.8	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	26.1	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	41.0	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	1,156	92.4	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	89	7.1	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	3	0.2	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	0	0.0	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	3	0.2	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	448	35.8	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	184	14.7	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	276	22.1	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	216	17.3	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	85	6.8	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	29	2.3	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	13	1.0	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	33.8		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Newark

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	75	10.0	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	283	37.6	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	154	20.5	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	95	12.6	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	95	12.6	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	51	6.8	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	1,087	94.9		90.1		79.7
Foreign Born	58	5.1		9.9		20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	1,053	92.0	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	92	8.0	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	28	2.4	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	1,053	92.0	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	40	3.5	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	52	4.5	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Newark

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	98	23.6	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	130	31.2	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	57	13.7	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	131	31.5	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	306	73.6	79.8		65.2	
Single Parent with Child	33	7.9	8.8		8.5	
Non-Family	110	26.4	20.2		34.8	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	73	17.5	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	100	24.0	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	42	10.1	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	68	16.3	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	74	17.8	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	59	14.2	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$73,409		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Newark

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	416	90.2	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	310	67.2	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	106	23.0	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	45	9.8	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Newark		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	46	11.2	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	3	0.7	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	13	3.2	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	30	7.3	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	121	29.5	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	12	2.9	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	40	9.8	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	69	16.8	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	42	10.2	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	16	3.9	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	14	3.4	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	12	2.9	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	201	49.0	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	118	28.8	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	69	16.8	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	14	3.4	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	24	30
Transportation Costs	24	26
H + T Costs	48	57

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Newark

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Newark.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	375	81.3	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	2	0.4	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	17	3.7	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	10	2.2	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	52	11.3	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	46	10.0	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	70	15.2	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	206	44.7	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	80	17.4	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	59	12.8	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	6.4		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	70	15.2	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	172	37.3	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	99	21.5	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	120	26.0	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	1971		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Newark

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Newark.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	18	4.3	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	102	24.5	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	179	43.0	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	117	28.1	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	20	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	477	81.7	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	55	9.4	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	5	0.9	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	39	6.7	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	8	1.4	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	584	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Newark	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	N/A	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Newark

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Newark.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Newark		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	631	69.3	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	615	97.5	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	16	2.5	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	279	30.7	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Newark		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF NEWARK RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Health Care	67	12.7
Education	61	11.6
Retail Trade	60	11.4
Manufacturing	43	8.2
Wholesale Trade	42	8.0
By Employment Location		
Chicago	49	9.3
Joliet	37	7.0
Aurora	26	4.9
Naperville	26	4.9
Plainfield	17	3.2

EMPLOYMENT IN NEWARK, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Education	88	31.3
Construction	44	15.7
Wholesale Trade	44	15.7
Manufacturing	40	14.2
Transportation	16	5.7
By Residence Location		
Joliet	56	19.9
Oswego	10	3.6
Aurora	9	3.2
Yorkville	9	3.2
Minooka	6	2.1

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Newark

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Newark. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	162.3	22.8
Multi-Family Residential	0.6	0.1
Commercial	22.8	3.2
Industrial	2.4	0.3
Institutional	47.2	6.6
Mixed Use	0.5	0.1
Transportation and Other	88.4	12.4
Agricultural	339.0	47.5
Open Space	27.3	3.8
Vacant	22.7	3.2
TOTAL	713.2	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Newark	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	23.8	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	N/A
-------------	-----

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Newark

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Newark revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Newark	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 10,254,340	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 11,021,711	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 8,810	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 17,728,595
Commercial	\$ 3,437,598
Industrial	\$ 301,152
Railroad	\$ 0
Farm	\$ 297,245
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 21,764,590

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Newark

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	97.2	85.7	92.4
Hispanic or Latino	2.1	12.5	7.1
Black non-Hispanic	0.0	0.0	0.2
Asian non-Hispanic	0.2	0.0	0.0
All other categories	0.5	1.8	0.2

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	95.7	94.9
Foreign Born	4.3	5.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	31.3	25.5	35.8
20 to 34	18.3	20.0	14.7
35 to 49	24.9	19.7	22.1
50 to 64	13.3	23.3	17.3
65 and Older	12.2	11.5	10.2
Median Age*	35.3	40.0	33.8

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Newark

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	8.5	9.0	10.0
High School Graduate or Equivalency	42.7	38.4	37.6
Some College, No Degree	24.9	23.5	20.5
Associate's Degree	8.5	10.0	12.6
Bachelor's Degree	12.3	14.4	12.6
Graduate or Professional Degree	3.2	4.7	6.8

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	90.8	92.0
Language other than English	9.2	8.0
Speak English less than "very well"	4.4	2.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	90.8	92.0
Spanish	9.2	3.5
Slavic Languages	0.0	0.0
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.0	4.5
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Newark

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	26.9	23.6
2 People Household	33.6	31.2
3 People Household	20.0	13.7
4 or More People Household	19.4	31.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	69.7	73.6
Single Parent with Child	4.2	7.9
Non-Family	30.3	26.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 70,960	\$ 73,409

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Newark

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	96.0	100.0	90.2
Owner-Occupied*	76.6	73.1	67.2
Renter-Occupied*	19.5	26.9	23.0
Vacant Housing Units	4.0	0.0	9.8

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	10.3	11.2
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.6	0.7
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	2.2	3.2
<i>30 percent or more</i>	7.5	7.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	24.2	29.5
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	4.4	2.9
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	7.2	9.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	12.5	16.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	26.9	10.2
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	9.4	3.9
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	1.7	3.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	15.8	2.9
\$75,000 or More	35.0	49.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	20.8	28.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	11.7	16.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	2.5	3.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Newark

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Single Family, Detached	82.5	81.3
Single Family, Attached	2.5	0.4
2 Units	3.6	3.7
3 or 4 Units	0.0	2.2
5 or more Units	11.4	11.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	8.3	10.0
2 Bedrooms	21.1	15.2
3 Bedrooms	46.7	44.7
4 Bedrooms	16.9	17.4
5+ Bedrooms	6.9	12.8
Median Number of Rooms*	6.4	6.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	13.1	15.2
Built 1970 to 1999	30.8	37.3
Built 1940 to 1969	21.9	21.5
Built Before 1940	34.2	26.0
Median Year Built	1965	1971

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Newark

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	5.8	4.3
1 Vehicle Available	26.4	24.5
2 Vehicles Available	45.3	43.0
3 or More Vehicles Available	22.5	28.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	81.4	81.7
Carpool	6.7	9.4
Transit	2.6	0.9
Walk or Bike	5.5	6.7
Other	3.8	1.4
TOTAL COMMUTERS	419.0	584.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	71.4	69.3
Employed†*	91.7	97.5
Unemployed*	8.3	2.5
Not In Labor Force	28.6	30.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Newark

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF NEWARK*

Primary Water Source: Sandstone Groundwater**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	0.10	0.10	-17.00
Residential Sector	0.10	0.10	-17.00
Non-Residential Sector	0.00	0.00	N/A

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Newark			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	89.2	62.6	-35.0	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Newark

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	N/A	\$ 2.33	N/A	N/A
Sewer	N/A	\$ 7.79	N/A	N/A
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Newark

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Newark (Current)	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	32.0%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	83.3%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	36.5%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	36.5%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.28	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	62.6	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$252.07	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	19.7%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Newark

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



CMAP

COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
OSWEGO, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region


Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assesed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Oswego

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Oswego.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Oswego	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	33,759	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	10,673	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	3.2	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	127.8	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	11.2	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	153.3	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	24,107	71.4	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	5,085	15.1	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	2,076	6.1	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	1,710	5.1	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	781	2.3	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	11,135	33.0	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	5,802	17.2	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	8,148	24.1	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	5,771	17.1	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	2,059	6.1	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	628	1.9	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	216	0.6	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	34.8		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Oswego

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	1,185	5.7	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	3,770	18.3	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	4,619	22.4	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	2,225	10.8	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	5,451	26.4	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	3,363	16.3	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	28,730	91.4		90.1		79.7
Foreign Born	2,694	8.6		9.9		20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	26,277	83.6	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	5,147	16.4	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	1,326	4.2	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	26,277	83.6	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	3,161	10.1	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	351	1.1	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	234	0.7	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	124	0.4	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	124	0.4	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	61	0.2	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	200	0.6	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	864	2.7	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	28	0.1	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Oswego

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	1,368	12.8	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	3,258	30.5	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	1,985	18.6	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	4,062	38.1	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Family	9,028	84.6	79.8	65.2
Single Parent with Child	535	5.0	8.8	8.5
Non-Family	1,645	15.4	20.2	34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	387	3.6	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	1,198	11.2	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,583	14.8	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	2,088	19.6	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	2,804	26.3	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	2,613	24.5	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$101,191		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Oswego

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	10,673	98.0	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	9,212	84.6	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	1,461	13.4	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	218	2.0	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Oswego		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	216	2.0	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	216	2.0	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	1,351	12.7	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	223	2.1	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	93	0.9	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	1,035	9.7	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	1,550	14.6	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	578	5.4	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	441	4.2	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	531	5.0	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	7,505	70.7	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	3,992	37.6	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	2,962	27.9	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	551	5.2	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	37	47
Transportation Costs	23	25
H + T Costs	60	71

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Oswego

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Oswego.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	7,903	72.6	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	2,005	18.4	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	166	1.5	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	120	1.1	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	677	6.2	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	442	4.1	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	1,562	14.3	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	4,043	37.1	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	4,190	38.5	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	654	6.0	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	7.5		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	5,966	54.8	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	3,923	36.0	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	530	4.9	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	472	4.3	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	2001		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Oswego

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Oswego.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	234	2.2	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	1,981	18.6	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	5,743	53.8	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	2,715	25.4	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	760	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	14,283	85.1	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	1,427	8.5	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	950	5.7	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	85	0.5	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	47	0.3	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	16,792	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Oswego	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	24,055	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Oswego

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Oswego.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Oswego		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	18,853	75.9	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	18,036	95.7	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	817	4.3	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	5,985	24.1	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Oswego		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF OSWEGO RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Retail Trade	1,857	11.6
Education	1,820	11.3
Health Care	1,741	10.8
Manufacturing	1,546	9.6
Professional	1,227	7.6
By Employment Location		
Aurora	1,912	11.9
Chicago	1,845	11.5
Oswego	1,289	8.0
Naperville	1,236	7.7
Montgomery	352	2.2

EMPLOYMENT IN OSWEGO, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Education	2,765	28.6
Retail Trade	1,998	20.7
Accommodation and Food Service	1,266	13.1
Health Care	667	6.9
Construction	495	5.1
By Residence Location		
Aurora	1,333	13.8
Oswego	1,289	13.3
Naperville	412	4.3
Yorkville	389	4.0
Joliet	381	3.9

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Oswego

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Oswego. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	3,021.6	30.2
Multi-Family Residential	36.2	0.4
Commercial	494.3	4.9
Industrial	245.7	2.5
Institutional	404.3	4.0
Mixed Use	3.4	0.0
Transportation and Other	1,481.0	14.8
Agricultural	2,826.1	28.2
Open Space	720.9	7.2
Vacant	774.9	7.7
TOTAL	10,008.4	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Oswego	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	10.5	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	22.00
-------------	-------

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Oswego

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Oswego revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Oswego	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 505,679,148	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 643,416,545	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 19,059	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 702,100,542
Commercial	\$ 119,767,344
Industrial	\$ 7,426,266
Railroad	\$ 27,693
Farm	\$ 1,517,689
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 830,839,534

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Oswego

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	90.6	76.5	71.4
Hispanic or Latino	5.0	12.0	15.1
Black non-Hispanic	1.8	6.0	6.1
Asian non-Hispanic	1.4	3.6	5.1
All other categories	1.2	2.0	2.3

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	91.5	91.4
Foreign Born	8.5	8.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	33.7	36.1	33.0
20 to 34	21.6	17.3	17.2
35 to 49	26.0	26.9	24.1
50 to 64	11.8	13.6	17.1
65 and Older	7.0	6.1	8.6
Median Age*	32.7	33.3	34.8

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Oswego

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	6.5	5.3	5.7
High School Graduate or Equivalency	23.9	19.4	18.3
Some College, No Degree	26.5	25.4	22.4
Associate's Degree	9.6	8.3	10.8
Bachelor's Degree	22.9	27.5	26.4
Graduate or Professional Degree	10.7	14.2	16.3

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	86.1	83.6
Language other than English	13.9	16.4
Speak English less than "very well"	4.5	4.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	86.1	83.6
Spanish	7.9	10.1
Slavic Languages	1.2	1.1
Chinese	0.4	0.7
Tagalog	0.2	0.4
Arabic	0.5	0.4
Korean	0.3	0.2
Other Asian Languages	1.6	0.6
Other Indo-European Languages	1.9	2.7
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.1	0.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Oswego

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	13.4	12.8
2 People Household	28.9	30.5
3 People Household	17.3	18.6
4 or More People Household	40.3	38.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	83.2	84.6
Single Parent with Child	8.0	5.0
Non-Family	16.8	15.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 103,216	\$ 101,191

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Oswego

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	97.5	94.0	98.0
Owner-Occupied*	90.0	82.5	84.6
Renter-Occupied*	7.5	11.5	13.4
Vacant Housing Units	2.5	6.0	2.0

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	3.9	2.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	3.9	2.0
\$20,000 to \$49,999	13.8	12.7
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	1.4	2.1
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	2.2	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	10.2	9.7
\$50,000 to \$74,999	18.1	14.6
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	3.3	5.4
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	3.8	4.2
<i>30 percent or more</i>	10.9	5.0
\$75,000 or More	63.5	70.7
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	24.3	37.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	27.2	27.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	11.9	5.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Oswego

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Single Family, Detached	75.7	72.6
Single Family, Attached	17.5	18.4
2 Units	1.0	1.5
3 or 4 Units	0.3	1.1
5 or more Units	5.5	6.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	3.9	4.1
2 Bedrooms	16.9	14.3
3 Bedrooms	32.2	37.1
4 Bedrooms	43.0	38.5
5+ Bedrooms	4.1	6.0
Median Number of Rooms*	7.4	7.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	54.8	54.8
Built 1970 to 1999	34.9	36.0
Built 1940 to 1969	7.3	4.9
Built Before 1940	3.1	4.3
Median Year Built	2001	2001

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Oswego

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	2.1	2.2
1 Vehicle Available	20.3	18.6
2 Vehicles Available	54.0	53.8
3 or More Vehicles Available	23.6	25.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	86.5	85.1
Carpool	7.4	8.5
Transit	4.7	5.7
Walk or Bike	1.0	0.5
Other	0.4	0.3
TOTAL COMMUTERS	12,987.0	16,792.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	33.5	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	76.4	75.9
Employed†*	94.0	95.7
Unemployed*	6.0	4.3
Not In Labor Force	23.6	24.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Oswego

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF OSWEGO*

Primary Water Source: Sandstone Groundwater**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	1.70	2.60	38.80
Residential Sector	1.40	2.10	38.80
Non-Residential Sector	0.30	0.40	38.80

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Oswego			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	83.6	66.1	-23.3	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Oswego

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$ 3.83	\$ 6.19	47.2	4.9
Sewer	\$ 1.91	\$ 1.71	-11.2	-1.1
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Oswego

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Oswego (Current)	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	53.6%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	87.0%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	72.9%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	54.2%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.24	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	66.1	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$323.81	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	18.4%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Oswego

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmaphillinois.org. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
PLANO, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region


Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assesed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Plano

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Plano.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	11,863	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	3,681	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	3.2	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	92.7	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	9.3	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	110.6	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	6,805	57.4	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	3,737	31.5	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	1,023	8.6	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	0	0.0	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	298	2.5	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	3,884	32.7	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	3,202	27.0	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	2,513	21.2	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	1,342	11.3	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	610	5.1	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	283	2.4	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	29	0.2	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	30.3		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Plano

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	1,246	17.6	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	1,754	24.8	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	1,813	25.7	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	1,000	14.2	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	983	13.9	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	268	3.8	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	9,247	85.3		90.1		79.7
Foreign Born	1,598	14.7		9.9		20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	7,211	66.5	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	3,634	33.5	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	1,413	13.0	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	7,211	66.5	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	3,106	28.6	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	75	0.7	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	115	1.1	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	338	3.1	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Plano

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	721	19.6	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	927	25.2	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	606	16.5	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	1,427	38.8	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	2,700	73.3		79.8		65.2
Single Parent with Child	681	18.5		8.8		8.5
Non-Family	981	26.7		20.2		34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	714	19.4	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	886	24.1	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	552	15.0	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	554	15.1	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	822	22.3	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	153	4.2	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$59,631		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Plano

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	3,681	99.2	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	2,359	63.6	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	1,322	35.6	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	28	0.8	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Plano		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	420	11.5	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	25	0.7	0.7	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.7	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	395	10.8	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	1,160	31.7	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	15	0.4	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	441	12.0	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	704	19.2	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	552	15.1	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	270	7.4	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	115	3.1	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	167	4.6	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	1,529	41.8	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	1,208	33.0	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	321	8.8	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	0	0.0	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	21	27
Transportation Costs	23	24
H + T Costs	40	47

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Plano

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Plano.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	2,295	61.9	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	828	22.3	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	197	5.3	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	78	2.1	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	311	8.4	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	313	8.4	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	977	26.3	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	1,516	40.9	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	668	18.0	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	235	6.3	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	6.0		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	1,690	45.6	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	840	22.6	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	683	18.4	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	496	13.4	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	1992		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Plano

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Plano.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	167	4.5	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	1,181	32.1	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	1,519	41.3	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	814	22.1	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	62	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	5,027	83.9	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	643	10.7	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	20	0.3	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	23	0.4	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	278	4.6	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	5,991	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	N/A	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Plano

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Plano.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Plano		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	6,580	76.4	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	6,074	92.3	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	506	7.7	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	2,032	23.6	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Plano		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF PLANO RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Manufacturing	721	14.1
Retail Trade	688	13.4
Health Care	527	10.3
Education	433	8.5
Accommodation and Food Service	357	7.0
By Employment Location		
Aurora	495	9.7
Plano	408	8.0
Chicago	386	7.5
Yorkville	257	5.0
Naperville	190	3.7

EMPLOYMENT IN PLANO, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Transportation	1,226	45.7
Manufacturing	447	16.7
Education	344	12.8
Retail Trade	196	7.3
Other Service	77	2.9
By Residence Location		
Plano	408	15.2
Aurora	165	6.2
Yorkville	96	3.6
Montgomery	69	2.6
Joliet	62	2.3

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Plano

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Plano. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	1,027.4	21.4
Multi-Family Residential	15.7	0.3
Commercial	115.6	2.4
Industrial	468.9	9.8
Institutional	144.5	3.0
Mixed Use	2.2	0.0
Transportation and Other	648.3	13.5
Agricultural	1,818.5	37.9
Open Space	43.4	0.9
Vacant	508.0	10.6
TOTAL	4,792.6	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	1.2	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	N/A
-------------	-----

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Plano

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Plano revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Plano	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 130,193,136	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 175,601,555	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 14,802	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 129,393,086
Commercial	\$ 20,635,645
Industrial	\$ 14,240,906
Railroad	\$ 316,275
Farm	\$ 1,706,947
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 166,292,859

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plano

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	72.3	59.8	57.4
Hispanic or Latino	25.8	33.7	31.5
Black non-Hispanic	0.3	3.1	8.6
Asian non-Hispanic	0.3	0.2	0.0
All other categories	1.2	3.2	2.5

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	81.4	85.3
Foreign Born	18.6	14.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	34.4	29.8	32.7
20 to 34	22.2	29.2	27.0
35 to 49	21.3	21.9	21.2
50 to 64	12.6	11.9	11.3
65 and Older	9.5	7.2	7.8
Median Age*	30.8	30.0	30.3

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plano

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	24.6	16.5	17.6
High School Graduate or Equivalency	34.8	31.8	24.8
Some College, No Degree	25.0	25.5	25.7
Associate's Degree	5.4	5.7	14.2
Bachelor's Degree	8.1	17.1	13.9
Graduate or Professional Degree	2.1	3.3	3.8

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	65.3	66.5
Language other than English	34.7	33.5
Speak English less than "very well"	13.8	13.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	65.3	66.5
Spanish	30.3	28.6
Slavic Languages	1.9	0.0
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.7
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	2.6	1.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.0	3.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plano

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	24.6	19.6
2 People Household	28.5	25.2
3 People Household	16.3	16.5
4 or More People Household	30.6	38.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	70.1	73.3
Single Parent with Child	7.0	18.5
Non-Family	29.9	26.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 65,347	\$ 59,631

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plano

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	96.4	93.5	99.2
Owner-Occupied*	68.4	75.8	63.6
Renter-Occupied*	28.0	17.7	35.6
Vacant Housing Units	3.6	6.5	0.8

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	7.8	11.5
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.0	0.7
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.4	0.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	7.4	10.8
\$20,000 to \$49,999	30.3	31.7
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	3.2	0.4
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	8.8	12.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	18.3	19.2
\$50,000 to \$74,999	28.1	15.1
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	4.8	7.4
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	8.1	3.1
<i>30 percent or more</i>	15.2	4.6
\$75,000 or More	32.5	41.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	14.2	33.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	13.6	8.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	4.7	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plano

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Single Family, Detached	70.6	61.9
Single Family, Attached	15.9	22.3
2 Units	0.5	5.3
3 or 4 Units	7.9	2.1
5 or more Units	5.1	8.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	8.3	8.4
2 Bedrooms	29.9	26.3
3 Bedrooms	36.1	40.9
4 Bedrooms	20.6	18.0
5+ Bedrooms	5.2	6.3
Median Number of Rooms*	5.7	6.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	43.2	45.6
Built 1970 to 1999	20.6	22.6
Built 1940 to 1969	20.2	18.4
Built Before 1940	16.0	13.4
Median Year Built	1987	1992

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plano

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	3.9	4.5
1 Vehicle Available	27.8	32.1
2 Vehicles Available	48.4	41.3
3 or More Vehicles Available	19.9	22.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	82.9	83.9
Carpool	13.8	10.7
Transit	2.5	0.3
Walk or Bike	0.6	0.4
Other	0.2	4.6
TOTAL COMMUTERS	4,746.0	5,991.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	35.5	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	77.6	76.4
Employed†*	91.7	92.3
Unemployed*	7.6	7.7
Not In Labor Force	22.4	23.6

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Plano

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF PLANO*

Primary Water Source: Shallow Groundwater**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	0.80	0.70	-10.20
Residential Sector	0.70	0.60	-10.20
Non-Residential Sector	0.10	0.10	-10.20

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Plano			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	99.6	54.3	-59.0	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Plano

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$ 3.05	\$ 5.85	62.8	6.7
Sewer	\$ 3.52	\$ 5.97	51.6	5.4
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Plano

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Plano	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	31.9%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	87.6%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	7.7%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	1.7%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.25	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	54.3	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$294.13	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	12.4%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Plano

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
PLATTVILLE, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region


Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assesed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Plattville

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Plattville.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Plattville	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	263	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	83	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	3.2	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	N/A	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	8.7	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	N/A	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	258	98.1	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	4	1.5	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	1	0.4	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	0	0.0	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	0	0.0	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	96	36.5	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	47	17.9	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	49	18.6	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	45	17.1	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	18	6.8	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	5	1.9	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	3	1.1	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	32.7		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Plattville

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	14	9.4	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	48	32.2	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	52	34.9	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	15	10.1	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	7	4.7	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	13	8.7	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	237	99.2		90.1		79.7
Foreign Born	2	0.8		9.9		20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	237	99.2	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	2	0.8	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	2	0.8	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	237	99.2	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	2	0.8	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	0	0.0	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	0	0.0	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Plattville

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	15	18.1	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	20	24.1	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	17	20.5	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	31	37.3	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	68	81.9	79.8		65.2	
Single Parent with Child	15	18.1	8.8		8.5	
Non-Family	15	18.1	20.2		34.8	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	21	25.3	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	8	9.6	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	5	6.0	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	22	26.5	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	19	22.9	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	8	9.6	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$84,375		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Plattville

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	83	100.0	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	66	79.5	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	17	20.5	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	0	0.0	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Plattville		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	8	10.4	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	1	1.3	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	7	9.1	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	15	19.5	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	3	3.9	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	2	2.6	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	10	13.0	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	5	6.5	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	3	3.9	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0	0.0	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	2	2.6	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	49	63.6	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	38	49.4	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	8	10.4	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	3	3.9	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	33	41
Transportation Costs	27	30
H + T Costs	60	72

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Plattville

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Plattville.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	83	100.0	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	0	0.0	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	0	0.0	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	0	0.0	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	0	0.0	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	5	6.0	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	7	8.4	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	44	53.0	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	18	21.7	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	9	10.8	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	6.5		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	6	7.2	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	34	41.0	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	8	9.6	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	35	42.2	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	1955		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Plattville

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Plattville.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	2	2.4	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	23	27.7	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	27	32.5	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	31	37.3	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	1	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	99	89.2	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	4	3.6	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	1	0.9	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	3	2.7	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	4	3.6	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	111	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Plattville	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	N/A	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Plattville

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Plattville.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Plattville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	120	60.9	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	113	94.2	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	7	5.8	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	77	39.1	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Plattville		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF PLATTVILLE RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Retail Trade	19	14.7
Health Care	14	10.9
Construction	13	10.1
Education	10	7.8
Administration	10	7.8
By Employment Location		
Joliet	14	10.9
Chicago	11	8.5
Aurora	7	5.4
Plainfield	6	4.7
Newark	4	3.1

EMPLOYMENT IN PLATTVILLE, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Construction	3	75.0
Public Administration	1	25.0
Other Service	0	N/A
Mining	0	N/A
Utilities	0	N/A
By Residence Location		
Zion	0	N/A
Harwood Heights	0	N/A
Gurnee	0	N/A
Hainesville	0	N/A
Hampshire	0	N/A

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Plattville

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Plattville. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	109.8	7.5
Multi-Family Residential	N/A	N/A
Commercial	2.5	0.2
Industrial	N/A	N/A
Institutional	7.3	0.5
Mixed Use	N/A	N/A
Transportation and Other	12.1	0.8
Agricultural	1,317.3	90.6
Open Space	3.7	0.3
Vacant	1.9	0.1
TOTAL	1,454.5	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Plattville	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	14.1	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	N/A
-------------	-----

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Plattville

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Plattville revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Plattville	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 33,448	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 33,425	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 127	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 4,390,594
Commercial	\$ 49,464
Industrial	\$ 0
Railroad	\$ 0
Farm	\$ 918,197
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 5,358,255

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plattville

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	N/A	95.4	98.1
Hispanic or Latino	N/A	0.0	1.5
Black non-Hispanic	N/A	0.0	0.4
Asian non-Hispanic	N/A	0.0	0.0
All other categories	N/A	4.6	0.0

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	100.0	99.2
Foreign Born	0.0	0.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	N/A	9.2	36.5
20 to 34	N/A	4.6	17.9
35 to 49	N/A	13.8	18.6
50 to 64	N/A	17.1	17.1
65 and Older	N/A	55.3	9.9
Median Age*	N/A	67.7	32.7

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plattville

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	N/A	24.6	9.4
High School Graduate or Equivalency	N/A	33.6	32.2
Some College, No Degree	N/A	11.9	34.9
Associate's Degree	N/A	15.7	10.1
Bachelor's Degree	N/A	12.7	4.7
Graduate or Professional Degree	N/A	1.5	8.7

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	97.3	99.2
Language other than English	2.7	0.8
Speak English less than "very well"	0.0	0.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	97.3	99.2
Spanish	2.7	0.8
Slavic Languages	0.0	0.0
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.0
Other Indo-European Languages	0.0	0.0
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plattville

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	12.1	18.1
2 People Household	72.7	24.1
3 People Household	0.0	20.5
4 or More People Household	15.2	37.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	50.0	81.9
Single Parent with Child	0.0	18.1
Non-Family	50.0	18.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 64,168	\$ 84,375

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plattville

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	N/A	100.0	100.0
Owner-Occupied*	N/A	69.7	79.5
Renter-Occupied*	N/A	30.3	20.5
Vacant Housing Units	N/A	0.0	0.0

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	25.8	10.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.0	1.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	25.8	9.7
\$20,000 to \$49,999	9.1	19.5
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	6.1	3.9
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.0	2.6
<i>30 percent or more</i>	3.0	13.0
\$50,000 to \$74,999	21.2	6.5
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	18.2	3.9
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	3.0	0.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	0.0	2.6
\$75,000 or More	40.9	63.6
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	34.8	49.4
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	6.1	10.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	0.0	3.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plattville

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	100.0	100.0
Single Family, Attached	0.0	0.0
2 Units	0.0	0.0
3 or 4 Units	0.0	0.0
5 or more Units	0.0	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	3.0	6.0
2 Bedrooms	16.7	8.4
3 Bedrooms	75.8	53.0
4 Bedrooms	4.5	21.7
5+ Bedrooms	0.0	10.8
Median Number of Rooms*	5.3	6.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	0.0	7.2
Built 1970 to 1999	59.1	41.0
Built 1940 to 1969	9.1	9.6
Built Before 1940	31.8	42.2
Median Year Built	1972	1955

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Plattville

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	3.0	2.4
1 Vehicle Available	25.8	27.7
2 Vehicles Available	45.5	32.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	25.8	37.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	100.0	89.2
Carpool	0.0	3.6
Transit	0.0	0.9
Walk or Bike	0.0	2.7
Other	0.0	3.6
TOTAL COMMUTERS	81.0	111.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	40.1	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	62.1	60.9
Employed†*	93.1	94.2
Unemployed*	6.9	5.8
Not In Labor Force	37.9	39.1

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Plattville

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF PLATTVILLE*

Primary Water Source: nan**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	N/A	N/A	N/A
Residential Sector	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Residential Sector	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Plattville			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	N/A	N/A	N/A	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Plattville

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sewer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Plattville

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Plattville	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	23.5%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	75.2%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	72.8%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	72.8%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.19	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	N/A	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$141.42	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	No	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	8.0%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Plattville

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



CMAP

COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
SANDWICH, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assessed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.


This profile includes partial estimates for Sandwich. This geography falls partially outside of the CMAP Region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Sandwich

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Sandwich.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Sandwich	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	7,633	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	2,647	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	2.9	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	14.0	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	2.9	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	17.3	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	6,389	83.7	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	1,174	15.4	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	1	0.0	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	20	0.3	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	49	0.6	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	1,976	25.9	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	1,831	24.0	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	1,495	19.6	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	1,204	15.8	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	539	7.1	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	372	4.9	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	216	2.8	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	35.1		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Sandwich

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	479	9.5	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	2,093	41.5	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	1,346	26.7	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	357	7.1	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	531	10.5	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	239	4.7	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	6,378	89.8		90.1		79.7
Foreign Born	725	10.2		9.9		20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	6,170	86.9	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	933	13.1	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	477	6.7	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	6,170	86.9	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	875	12.3	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	0	0.0	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	0	0.0	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	0	0.0	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	20	0.3	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	38	0.5	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Sandwich

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	727	27.5	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	770	29.1	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	474	17.9	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	676	25.5	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Family	1,701	64.3	12,457	79.8	939,153	65.2
Single Parent with Child	235	8.9	1,622	8.8	126,277	8.5
Non-Family	946	35.7	3,282	20.2	512,872	34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	411	15.5	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	560	21.2	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	597	22.6	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	483	18.2	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	430	16.2	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	166	6.3	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$61,656		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Sandwich

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	2,647	95.5	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	1,865	67.3	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	782	28.2	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	125	4.5	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Sandwich		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	254	9.7	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	10	0.4	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	34	1.3	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	210	8.0	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	696	26.5	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	167	6.4	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	194	7.4	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	335	12.8	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	597	22.7	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	315	12.0	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	203	7.7	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	79	3.0	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	1,079	41.1	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	710	27.0	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	244	9.3	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	125	4.8	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	26	33
Transportation Costs	23	25
H + T Costs	49	57

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Sandwich

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Sandwich.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	2,080	75.0	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	186	6.7	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	49	1.8	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	234	8.4	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	102	3.7	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	253	9.1	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	612	22.1	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	1,305	47.1	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	461	16.6	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	141	5.1	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	5.8		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	423	15.3	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	952	34.3	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	651	23.5	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	746	26.9	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	1969		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Sandwich

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Sandwich.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	166	6.3	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	721	27.2	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	1,100	41.6	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	660	24.9	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	35	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	3,527	83.8	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	458	10.9	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	29	0.7	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	93	2.2	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	104	2.5	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	4,211	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Sandwich	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	N/A	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Sandwich

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Sandwich.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Sandwich		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	4,486	73.2	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed†*	4,344	96.8	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed*	142	3.2	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	1,642	26.8	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Sandwich		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
*Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
**Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF SANDWICH RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Manufacturing	11	13.8
Accommodation and Food Service	8	10.0
Retail Trade	8	10.0
Education	7	8.8
Health Care	6	7.5
By Employment Location		
Aurora	10	12.5
Plano	8	10.0
Yorkville	4	5.0
Oswego	4	5.0
Chicago	4	5.0

EMPLOYMENT IN SANDWICH, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Retail Trade	118	36.2
Manufacturing	86	26.4
Accommodation and Food Service	76	23.3
Information	17	5.2
Arts and Entertainment	10	3.1
By Residence Location		
Plano	41	12.6
Aurora	14	4.3
Oswego	9	2.8
Yorkville	9	2.8
Montgomery	9	2.8

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Sandwich

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Sandwich. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	38.3	5.7
Multi-Family Residential	N/A	N/A
Commercial	70.0	10.4
Industrial	40.5	6.0
Institutional	N/A	N/A
Mixed Use	N/A	N/A
Transportation and Other	38.5	5.7
Agricultural	418.6	62.1
Open Space	0.5	0.1
Vacant	68.1	10.1
TOTAL	674.5	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Sandwich	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	2.2	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	N/A
-------------	-----

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Sandwich

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Sandwich revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Sandwich	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 103,797,540	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 125,284,511	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 16,414	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 103,269,660
Commercial	\$ 27,339,594
Industrial	\$ 4,148,346
Railroad	\$ 337,537
Farm	\$ 506,254
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 135,601,391

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Sandwich

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	90.3	90.9	83.7
Hispanic or Latino	8.4	8.4	15.4
Black non-Hispanic	0.2	0.0	0.0
Asian non-Hispanic	0.2	0.4	0.3
All other categories	0.9	0.4	0.6

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	94.7	89.8
Foreign Born	5.3	10.2

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	29.6	26.5	25.9
20 to 34	19.2	16.8	24.0
35 to 49	23.9	23.0	19.6
50 to 64	13.4	19.7	15.8
65 and Older	14.0	13.9	14.8
Median Age*	35.8	39.7	35.1

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Sandwich

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	21.8	9.4	9.5
High School Graduate or Equivalency	37.0	36.2	41.5
Some College, No Degree	23.4	27.7	26.7
Associate's Degree	6.6	6.9	7.1
Bachelor's Degree	7.1	12.3	10.5
Graduate or Professional Degree	4.0	7.6	4.7

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	94.0	86.9
Language other than English	6.0	13.1
Speak English less than "very well"	2.1	6.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	94.0	86.9
Spanish	5.1	12.3
Slavic Languages	0.2	0.0
Chinese	0.0	0.0
Tagalog	0.0	0.0
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.0	0.3
Other Indo-European Languages	0.6	0.5
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.2	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Sandwich

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	24.4	27.5
2 People Household	35.7	29.1
3 People Household	14.8	17.9
4 or More People Household	25.1	25.5

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	72.3	64.3
Single Parent with Child	5.6	8.9
Non-Family	27.7	35.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 64,760	\$ 61,656

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Sandwich

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	96.3	90.7	95.5
Owner-Occupied*	66.8	67.9	67.3
Renter-Occupied*	29.5	22.9	28.2
Vacant Housing Units	3.7	9.3	4.5

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	13.4	9.7
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.0	0.4
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	2.4	1.3
<i>30 percent or more</i>	10.9	8.0
\$20,000 to \$49,999	27.7	26.5
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	10.0	6.4
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	3.2	7.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	14.5	12.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	20.6	22.7
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	9.8	12.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	5.0	7.7
<i>30 percent or more</i>	5.8	3.0
\$75,000 or More	35.4	41.1
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	19.9	27.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	13.2	9.3
<i>30 percent or more</i>	2.2	4.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Sandwich

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Single Family, Detached	74.5	75.0
Single Family, Attached	5.3	6.7
2 Units	3.0	1.8
3 or 4 Units	8.6	8.4
5 or more Units	6.1	3.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	10.6	9.1
2 Bedrooms	22.1	22.1
3 Bedrooms	43.5	47.1
4 Bedrooms	19.5	16.6
5+ Bedrooms	4.3	5.1
Median Number of Rooms*	5.8	5.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	17.5	15.3
Built 1970 to 1999	32.4	34.3
Built 1940 to 1969	22.8	23.5
Built Before 1940	27.4	26.9
Median Year Built	1970	1969

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Sandwich

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	3.6	6.3
1 Vehicle Available	29.3	27.2
2 Vehicles Available	42.6	41.6
3 or More Vehicles Available	24.5	24.9

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	87.1	83.8
Carpool	8.9	10.9
Transit	1.4	0.7
Walk or Bike	2.1	2.2
Other	0.5	2.5
TOTAL COMMUTERS	3,722.0	4,211.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	29.9	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	72.9	73.2
Employed†*	94.8	96.8
Unemployed*	5.2	3.2
Not In Labor Force	27.1	26.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Sandwich

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF SANDWICH*

Primary Water Source: Sandstone Groundwater**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	0.20	0.20	-6.60
Residential Sector	0.01	0.01	-6.60
Non-Residential Sector	0.20	0.20	-6.60

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Sandwich			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	91.4	78.6	-15.0	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Sandwich

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sewer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Sandwich

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

[ON TO 2050](#) is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Sandwich	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	22.3%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	86.6%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	0.0%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.25	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	78.6	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$326.45	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	14.5%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Sandwich

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmap.illinois.gov. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.



COMMUNITY DATA SNAPSHOT
YORKVILLE, MUNICIPALITY
JUNE 2019 RELEASE

About The Community Data Snapshot

The Community Data Snapshots are a series of County, Municipal, and Chicago Community Area data profiles that primarily feature data from the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates. As noted in each profile, the data comes from multiple sources in addition to the ACS, which include U.S. Census Bureau, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES), Illinois Department of Revenue (IDR), and the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning (CMAP).

User Notes

Margin of error

ACS is a sample-based data product. Exercise caution when using data from low-population municipalities, as the margins of error are often large compared to the estimate. For more details please refer to the [ACS Sample Size and Data Quality Methodology](#).

Regional values

Regional values are estimated by aggregating 2013-2017 ACS county level data of the seven counties that make up the CMAP region. These counties are Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, and Will.

Median values

The Census Bureau encourages users to aggregate small levels of geographies into larger areas and recalculate median values for those aggregated areas. Median values are recalculated using grouped frequency distributions for aggregated areas such as the CMAP region and CCAs.

Values for municipalities that extend outside the CMAP region

Values derived from CMAP analyses are restricted to geographies that fall within the CMAP regional boundaries. General Land Use, Equalized Assesed Value, Park Access, Water Supply, and On TO 2050 Indicator table values only represent portions of the the municipality that fall within the CMAP region.

Municipalities located in more than one county

Data is provided for the county containing the largest geographic portion of municipality.

Employment values

The Private Sector Employment table features data from the IDES Where Workers Work report. This report includes private sector employment totals for six counties within the seven-county CMAP region, excluding Kendall County.



CMAP Community Data Snapshot | Yorkville

POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD

The population and household tables include general demographic, social, and economic characteristics summarized for Yorkville.

GENERAL POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS, 2013-2017

	Yorkville	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Total Population	18,691	122,933	8,522,948
Total Households	6,120	39,882	3,095,093
Average Household Size	3.1	3.1	2.8
% Population Change, 2000-10	173.4	110.4	3.5
% Population Change, 2010-17	10.5	7.1	1.1
% Population Change, 2000-17	202.0	125.4	4.6

Source: 2000 and 2010 Census, 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
White non-Hispanic	14,370	76.9	87,482	71.2	4,400,011	51.6
Hispanic or Latino	2,084	11.1	21,617	17.6	1,935,376	22.7
Black non-Hispanic	1,396	7.5	7,854	6.4	1,431,406	16.8
Asian non-Hispanic	489	2.6	3,955	3.2	586,990	6.9
All other categories	352	1.9	2,025	1.6	169,165	2.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

AGE COHORTS, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
19 and under	6,354	34.0	39,252	31.9	2,217,385	26.0
20 to 34	3,521	18.8	23,259	18.9	1,813,921	21.3
35 to 49	5,312	28.4	29,546	24.0	1,725,677	20.2
50 to 64	2,014	10.8	19,454	15.8	1,641,327	19.3
65 to 74	872	4.7	7,485	6.1	647,212	7.6
75 to 84	304	1.6	2,870	2.3	329,087	3.9
85 and Older	314	1.7	1,067	0.9	148,339	1.7
Median Age*	33.7		34.5		37.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot: Yorkville

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	618	5.3	6,131	8.0	705,215	12.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	2,318	19.9	17,246	22.4	1,324,196	23.1
Some College, No Degree	3,341	28.7	19,076	24.8	1,119,856	19.5
Associate's Degree	1,286	11.0	7,760	10.1	395,340	6.9
Bachelor's Degree	2,174	18.7	17,324	22.5	1,328,622	23.2
Graduate or Professional Degree	1,911	16.4	9,493	12.3	862,603	15.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

NATIVITY, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Native	16,371	94.2		90.1		79.7
Foreign Born	1,012	5.8		9.9		20.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Only	15,943	91.7	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Language other than English	1,440	8.3	19,910	17.5	2,485,504	31.1
Speak English less than "very well"	288	1.7	6,621	5.8	981,994	12.3

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English	15,943	91.7	94,015	82.5	5,505,064	68.9
Spanish	697	4.0	13,874	12.2	1,463,328	18.3
Slavic Languages	202	1.2	722	0.6	277,040	3.5
Chinese	165	0.9	430	0.4	88,225	1.1
Tagalog	131	0.8	635	0.6	75,330	0.9
Arabic	0	0.0	442	0.4	60,403	0.8
Korean	0	0.0	225	0.2	37,451	0.5
Other Asian Languages	45	0.3	592	0.5	101,327	1.3
Other Indo-European Languages	200	1.2	2,321	2.0	327,819	4.1
Other / Unspecified Languages	0	0.0	669	0.6	54,581	0.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot: Yorkville

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
1 Person Household	1,148	18.8	6,369	16.0	890,038	28.8
2 People Household	1,652	27.0	12,457	31.2	939,153	30.3
3 People Household	996	16.3	6,857	17.2	486,277	15.7
4 or More People Household	2,324	38.0	14,199	35.6	779,625	25.2

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Family	4,531	74.0	79.8	65.2
Single Parent with Child	550	9.0	8.8	8.5
Non-Family	1,589	26.0	20.2	34.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Less than \$25,000	732	12.0	3,062	7.7	578,549	18.7
\$25,000 to \$49,999	785	12.8	6,125	15.4	601,924	19.4
\$50,000 to \$74,999	687	11.2	6,885	17.3	511,185	16.5
\$75,000 to \$99,999	1,077	17.6	6,896	17.3	392,259	12.7
\$100,000 to \$149,999	1,925	31.5	9,620	24.1	499,882	16.2
\$150,000 and Over	914	14.9	7,294	18.3	511,294	16.5
Median Income	\$95,383		\$89,860		\$67,619	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Yorkville

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	6,120	94.8	39,882	96.2	3,095,093	91.3
Owner-Occupied*	4,635	71.8	32,645	78.7	1,975,418	58.2
Renter-Occupied*	1,485	23.0	7,237	17.5	1,119,675	33.0
Vacant Housing Units	339	5.2	1,581	3.8	296,208	8.7

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2013-2017*

	Yorkville		Kendall County	CMAP Region
	Count	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	505	8.3	5.0	12.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.3
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0	0.0	0.1	0.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	505	8.3	4.8	11.5
\$20,000 to \$49,999	995	16.3	17.8	24.0
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0	0.0	1.6	2.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	320	5.3	4.0	5.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	675	11.1	12.1	15.8
\$50,000 to \$74,999	687	11.3	17.3	16.8
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	130	2.1	5.1	5.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	270	4.4	4.6	5.8
<i>30 percent or more</i>	287	4.7	7.6	5.5
\$75,000 or More	3,901	64.1	60.0	46.4
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	1,999	32.8	34.6	30.6
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	1,679	27.6	21.1	11.9
<i>30 percent or more</i>	223	3.7	4.3	3.9

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

*Excludes households with zero or negative income and no cash rent.

HOUSING & TRANSPORTATION (H+T) COSTS, PERCENT OF INCOME PER HOUSEHOLD, 2019*

	Median-Income Household**	Moderate-Income Household***
Housing Costs	35	43
Transportation Costs	24	26
H + T Costs	57	68

Source: Location Affordability Index, U.S. Dept. of Transportation, and U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development.

*The purpose of the H+T Index is to isolate the effect of location on housing and transportation costs, grouped by common demographic characteristics that form four distinct household types. The values above represent the percent of household income that an average household within each of these types in the region would spend on housing and transportation if they lived in this county. The standard threshold of affordability is equal to 30 percent for housing costs and 45 percent for housing and transportation costs combined. For more information, visit hudexchange.org.

**Median-Income Household assumes a household income equal to the area median, with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

***Moderate-Income Household assumes a household income of 80% of the area median with regional average household size, and the regional average commuters per household.

Community Data Snapshot: Yorkville

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

The housing characteristics tables include housing unit estimates by housing type, size, and age summarized for Yorkville.

HOUSING TYPE, 2013-2017*

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Single Family, Detached	4,309	66.7	31,148	75.1	1,704,708	50.3
Single Family, Attached	899	13.9	6,096	14.7	251,659	7.4
2 Units	160	2.5	592	1.4	236,677	7.0
3 or 4 Units	216	3.3	593	1.4	271,850	8.0
5 or more Units	861	13.3	2,987	7.2	899,796	26.5

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING SIZE, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	517	8.0	2,067	5.0	545,190	16.1
2 Bedrooms	1,473	22.8	6,683	16.1	969,876	28.6
3 Bedrooms	1,946	30.1	16,663	40.2	1,131,968	33.4
4 Bedrooms	2,184	33.8	13,671	33.0	586,905	17.3
5+ Bedrooms	339	5.2	2,379	5.7	157,362	4.6
Median Number of Rooms*	6.8		6.8		6.0	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
*Includes all rooms.

Universe: Housing units.

HOUSING AGE, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Built 2000 or Later	4,299	66.6	21,924	52.9	434,527	12.8
Built 1970 to 1999	1,716	26.6	13,376	32.3	1,150,207	33.9
Built 1940 to 1969	213	3.3	4,039	9.7	1,056,069	31.1
Built Before 1940	231	3.6	2,124	5.1	750,498	22.1
Median Year Built	2003		2001		1967	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot: Yorkville

TRANSPORTATION

The transportation tables include vehicle availability by household, mode of travel to work, and annual vehicle miles traveled for Yorkville.

VEHICLES AVAILABLE PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
No Vehicle Available	150	2.5	719	1.8	393,398	12.7
1 Vehicle Available	1,175	19.2	8,458	21.2	1,107,676	35.8
2 Vehicles Available	3,202	52.3	20,631	51.7	1,098,330	35.5
3 or More Vehicles Available	1,593	26.0	10,074	25.3	495,689	16.0

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		6-County Region*	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Work at Home**	224	N/A	2,963	N/A	202,734	N/A
Drive Alone	8,004	88.5	51,948	87.6	2,840,547	72.6
Carpool	623	6.9	4,503	7.6	321,276	8.2
Transit	282	3.1	1,928	3.3	540,300	13.8
Walk or Bike	55	0.6	422	0.7	163,733	4.2
Other	81	0.9	504	0.8	48,918	1.2
TOTAL COMMUTERS	9,045	100.0	59,305	100.0	3,914,774	100.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	N/A		N/A		31.5	

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and older.

* Commuter estimates not available for Kendall County.

** Not included in "total commuters."

ANNUAL VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED PER HOUSEHOLD, 2013-2017

	Yorkville	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Average Vehicle Miles Traveled	N/A	26,137	17,165

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning analysis of U.S. Census Bureau, HERE, and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (2017) data.

Community Data Snapshot: Yorkville

EMPLOYMENT

The employment tables include general workforce characteristics for Yorkville.

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2013-2017

	Yorkville		Kendall County		CMAP Region	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
In Labor Force	9,834	72.6	66,666	73.5	4,541,928	67.2
Employed^{†*}	9,409	95.7	63,352	95.0	4,187,289	92.2
Unemployed[*]	425	4.3	3,314	5.0	342,324	7.5
Not In Labor Force	3,705	27.4	24,020	26.5	2,213,083	32.8

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.
[†]Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Universe: ^{*}In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

PRIVATE SECTOR EMPLOYMENT, 2017*

	Yorkville		Kendall County		6-County Region**	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Private Employment	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	3,555,979	N/A
Job Change (2001-11)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-296,468	-8.5
Job Change (2011-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	363,553	11.4
Job Change (2001-17)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	67,085	1.9
Private Sector Jobs per Household	N/A		N/A		1.15	

Source: Illinois Department of Employment Security (IDES).
^{*}Figures exclude employees not covered by unemployment insurance.
^{**}Kendall County is not included in IDES data.

EMPLOYMENT OF YORKVILLE RESIDENTS, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Retail Trade	990	11.6
Education	930	10.9
Health Care	911	10.6
Manufacturing	899	10.5
Wholesale Trade	631	7.4
By Employment Location		
Aurora	1,080	12.6
Chicago	776	9.1
Naperville	522	6.1
Yorkville	520	6.1
Oswego	389	4.5

EMPLOYMENT IN YORKVILLE, 2015

By Industry Sector	Count	Percent
Education	865	18.9
Retail Trade	750	16.4
Health Care	690	15.1
Accommodation and Food Service	364	7.9
Public Administration	340	7.4
By Residence Location		
Yorkville	520	11.3
Aurora	323	7.0
Oswego	269	5.9
Montgomery	263	5.7
Plano	257	5.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Longitudinal-Employer Household Dynamics Program (2015).

Community Data Snapshot: Yorkville

LAND USE

The land use tables include general land use composition, park access, and Walk Score for Yorkville. The general land use and park access table estimates were derived from the [CMAP Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory](#).

GENERAL LAND USE, 2013

	Acres	Percent
Single-Family Residential	2,221.6	17.4
Multi-Family Residential	68.5	0.5
Commercial	384.8	3.0
Industrial	192.9	1.5
Institutional	312.9	2.4
Mixed Use	3.8	0.0
Transportation and Other	1,492.3	11.7
Agricultural	6,282.3	49.2
Open Space	361.5	2.8
Vacant	1,457.5	11.4
TOTAL	12,778.2	100.0

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning Parcel-Based Land Use Inventory 2013.

PARK ACCESS, 2013

	Yorkville	Kendall County	CMAP Region
Accessible Park Acreage per 1,000 Residents*	6.2	8.2	5.6

Source: Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory.

*Neighborhood parks (under 35 acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 0.5 miles; community parks (35 or more acres) are considered accessible by residents living within 1 mile.

WALK SCORE, 2018

Walk Score*	18.00
-------------	-------

*Walk Score is a number between 0 and 100 that measures the average walkability of a municipality. For more information visit [walkscore.com](https://www.walkscore.com) (2018).

Community Data Snapshot: Yorkville

REVENUE

The revenue tables include Yorkville revenues based on sales and current land use.

GENERAL MERCHANDISE RETAIL SALES, 2017

	Yorkville	Kendall County	CMAP Region
General Merchandise	\$ 242,269,816	\$ 1,250,574,176	\$ 128,012,549,280
Total Retail Sales	\$ 307,634,662	\$ 1,622,666,496	\$ 159,567,303,804
Total Sales per Capita*	\$ 16,459	\$ 13,200	\$ 18,722

Source: Illinois Department of Revenue, 2017.

*Per capita calculations based on population from 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

EQUALIZED ASSESSED VALUE, 2017

Residential	\$ 354,408,067
Commercial	\$ 84,099,111
Industrial	\$ 14,944,561
Railroad	\$ 17,328
Farm	\$ 2,963,511
Mineral	\$ 0
TOTAL	\$ 456,432,578

Sources: Illinois Department of Revenue 2017, Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning calculations of 2013 Land Use Inventory, 2013-2017 ACS 5-year estimates.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Yorkville

CHANGE OVER TIME

The time series tables include comparisons of current 2013-2017 ACS estimates to historic year estimates from the 2000 Census and 2006-2010 ACS.

RACE AND ETHNICITY, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
White non-Hispanic	95.2	85.5	76.9
Hispanic or Latino	2.9	9.4	11.1
Black non-Hispanic	0.4	2.1	7.5
Asian non-Hispanic	0.4	1.6	2.6
All other categories	1.0	1.4	1.9

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

NATIVITY, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Native	94.3	94.2
Foreign Born	5.7	5.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

AGE COHORTS, 2000-2017

	2000 Percent	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
19 and under	32.4	29.3	34.0
20 to 34	20.5	22.9	18.8
35 to 49	24.9	24.4	28.4
50 to 64	12.3	15.6	10.8
65 and Older	9.8	7.7	8.0
Median Age*	33.2	33.4	33.7

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Total population.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Yorkville

EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT, 2000-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Less than High School Graduate	9.4	8.1	5.3
High School Graduate or Equivalency	26.2	26.3	19.9
Some College, No Degree	31.3	25.4	28.7
Associate's Degree	8.6	10.3	11.0
Bachelor's Degree	17.9	19.6	18.7
Graduate or Professional Degree	6.6	10.2	16.4

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 25 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME AND ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English Only	89.9	91.7
Language other than English	10.1	8.3
Speak English less than "very well"	2.5	1.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
English	89.9	91.7
Spanish	7.5	4.0
Slavic Languages	0.5	1.2
Chinese	0.0	0.9
Tagalog	0.5	0.8
Arabic	0.0	0.0
Korean	0.0	0.0
Other Asian Languages	0.4	0.3
Other Indo-European Languages	0.9	1.2
Other / Unspecified Languages	0.4	0.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Population 5 years and older.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Yorkville

HOUSEHOLD SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
1 Person Household	20.3	18.8
2 People Household	32.9	27.0
3 People Household	18.2	16.3
4 or More People Household	28.6	38.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Family	74.9	74.0
Single Parent with Child	11.4	9.0
Non-Family	25.1	26.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	(2017 Dollars)	(2017 Dollars)
Median Income	\$ 92,185	\$ 95,383

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Yorkville

HOUSING AND TENURE, 2010-2017

	2000	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent	Percent
Occupied Housing Units	96.9	91.5	94.8
Owner-Occupied*	69.9	73.7	71.8
Renter-Occupied*	27.0	17.8	23.0
Vacant Housing Units	3.1	8.5	5.2

Source: 2000 Census, 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *Occupied housing units; Housing units.

HOUSING COSTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Less than \$20,000	5.6	8.3
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	0.0	0.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	0.7	0.0
<i>30 percent or more</i>	4.9	8.3
\$20,000 to \$49,999	22.3	16.3
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	2.5	0.0
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	3.1	5.3
<i>30 percent or more</i>	16.7	11.1
\$50,000 to \$74,999	15.7	11.3
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	1.8	2.1
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	5.7	4.4
<i>30 percent or more</i>	8.2	4.7
\$75,000 or More	55.7	64.1
<i>Less than 20 percent</i>	23.4	32.8
<i>20 to 29 percent</i>	19.3	27.6
<i>30 percent or more</i>	13.0	3.7

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Yorkville

HOUSING TYPE, 2010-2017*

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Single Family, Detached	62.2	66.7
Single Family, Attached	19.0	13.9
2 Units	2.8	2.5
3 or 4 Units	3.8	3.3
5 or more Units	11.4	13.3

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Excludes mobile, boat, RV, van, etc.

HOUSING SIZE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
0 to 1 Bedrooms	6.0	8.0
2 Bedrooms	27.5	22.8
3 Bedrooms	30.9	30.1
4 Bedrooms	29.0	33.8
5+ Bedrooms	6.7	5.2
Median Number of Rooms*	6.6	6.8

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

*Includes all rooms.

HOUSING AGE, 2010-2017

	2010 Percent	2017 Percent
Built 2000 or Later	55.4	66.6
Built 1970 to 1999	28.7	26.6
Built 1940 to 1969	7.5	3.3
Built Before 1940	8.3	3.6
Median Year Built	2001	2003

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Housing units.

Community Data Snapshot Time Series: Yorkville

VEHICLES AVAILABLE, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
No Vehicle Available	2.7	2.5
1 Vehicle Available	24.9	19.2
2 Vehicles Available	51.1	52.3
3 or More Vehicles Available	21.3	26.0

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Occupied housing units.

MODE OF TRAVEL TO WORK, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
Work at Home*	N/A	N/A
Drive Alone	91.0	88.5
Carpool	5.4	6.9
Transit	1.0	3.1
Walk or Bike	1.0	0.6
Other	1.6	0.9
TOTAL COMMUTERS	7,119.0	9,045.0
Mean Commute Time (minutes)	33.8	N/A

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: Workers 16 years and over.

* Not included in "total commuters."

EMPLOYMENT STATUS, 2010-2017

	2010	2017
	Percent	Percent
In Labor Force	72.5	72.6
Employed†*	94.2	95.7
Unemployed*	5.0	4.3
Not In Labor Force	27.5	27.4

Source: 2006-2010 and 2013-2017 American Community Survey five-year estimates.

Universe: *In Labor Force; Population 16 years and older.

†Does not include employed population in the Armed Forces.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Yorkville

WATER SUPPLY

CMAQ supports an integrated approach to water resource management, and encourages communities to incorporate water supply and demand considerations into land use, transportation, and infrastructure investment decisions. Assessing demand, price, and loss trends of a community can inform decisions that strengthen regional water supply management, maintain drinking water infrastructure, and manage demand. Click [here](#) to learn more about how communities can coordinate and conserve our shared water supply resources.

WATER SOURCE AND DEMAND TRENDS OF YORKVILLE*

Primary Water Source: Sandstone Groundwater**

	2003 MGD***	2013 MGD	Percent
Total Water Withdrawals****	0.90	1.50	51.30
Residential Sector	0.70	1.20	52.80
Non-Residential Sector	0.20	0.30	45.40

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data (2002-2013).

* Only available for municipalities with community water suppliers providing service to the majority of the community.

** The primary water source of a community is based on the source of the majority of withdrawals from all wells and intakes within the community, including community water suppliers and industrial and commercial businesses. The majority of withdrawals is calculated as an average from yearly data, given year to year fluctuations.

*** Millions of gallons per day.

**** Total includes all community water suppliers and industrial and commercial wells/intakes within a municipality; private residential wells are not included. Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. Non-residential sector includes withdrawals identified as non-residential by the community water suppliers and withdrawals from industrial and commercial wells/intakes.

DAILY RESIDENTIAL WATER DEMAND PER CAPITA

	Yorkville			CMAQ Region		
	2003	2013	Percent Change	2003	2013	Percent Change
Residential* (GPCD**)	79.5	69.2	-14.0	104.2	87.5	-17.4

Source: Analysis of Illinois Water Inventory Program water withdrawal data 2003-2013.

* Residential sector includes withdrawals identified as residential by community water suppliers. The prevalence of private residential wells or community water suppliers that provide water outside of the municipal boundary could lead to artificially lower or higher GPCD values respectively.

** Gallons of water per capita per day (estimated unit use). Population values used in sector totals come from the U.S. Census.

Community Data Snapshot Water Supply: Yorkville

WATER AND WASTEWATER PRICE TRENDS*

Real price per 1,000 gallons, in 2018 dollars	2008	2018	Percent Change	Annual Percent Change
Drinking Water	\$ 3.24	\$ 5.75	55.7	5.9
Sewer	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.47	-11.2	-1.1
Combined** (if applicable)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source: Illinois-Indiana Sea Grant Water Rates Data for Northeastern Illinois, IISG19-RCE-RLA-031.

* Only available for communities with water utilities and that responded to data requests. Percent changes and 2008 prices were adjusted for inflation using the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistic's Consumer Price Index for the Chicago-Naperville-Elgin region.

** Some utilities combine drinking water and sewer prices, rather than separating them out as two distinct rates.

WATER LOSS*

Reporting utility: Not a Lake Michigan permittee

	2017
Nonrevenue Water (Millions of Gallons per Day)**	N/A
Annual Cost of Nonrevenue Water (\$)	N/A
Percent of Nonrevenue Water to Water Supplied***	N/A

Source: Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Lake Michigan Allocation Program.

* Data is only regionally available for Lake Michigan permittees; water losses from other communities and industrial and commercial businesses are not reported to the state.

** Nonrevenue water is the difference between net annual pumpage (water supplied) and billed, authorized consumption. Non-revenue water includes water that is lost from the system due to underregistration of meters, systematic data handling errors, leakage anywhere within the distribution system, unauthorized consumption, or unbilled authorized consumption.

*** The threshold for permit compliance is less than 12 percent of water supplied in Water Year 2015, decreasing to no more than 10 percent by Water Year 2019 and all years thereafter. Permittees that exceed the threshold are required to submit a water system improvement plan.

Community Data Snapshot ON TO 2050 Indicators: Yorkville

ON TO 2050 INDICATORS

ON TO 2050 is the region's long-range comprehensive plan, adopted by CMAP in 2018. The plan includes a set of indicators for quantifying its goals and measuring implementation progress. While many of these indicators can only be measured at a regional level, several can also be tracked at a local level. These have been laid out in the table below, with comparisons to the region's current measure as well as the targets that the plan is aiming to reach by 2050. Visit cmap.illinois.gov/2050/indicators to learn more.

Plan Chapter	Indicator	Yorkville	CMAP Region		Source
		(Current)	(Current)	(Target)	
COMMUNITY	Population located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	41.5%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
	Jobs located in highly walkable areas	0.0%	38.2%	45.2%	CMAP, 2015
PROSPERITY	Population aged 25+ with an associate's degree or higher	46.1%	45.1%	64.9%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
	Workforce participation rate among population aged 20-64	81.8%	80.3%	83.4%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year
ENVIRONMENT	Population with park access of 4+ acres per 1,000 residents	44.4%	41.5%	65.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Population with park access of 10+ acres per 1,000 residents	27.5%	16.0%	40.0%	CMAP, 2013
	Impervious acres per household	0.32	0.18	0.15	USGS National Land Cover Dataset, 2011
	Daily residential water demand per capita (gallons)	69.2	87.5	65.2	Illinois Water Inventory Program, 2013
GOVERNANCE	State revenue disbursement per capita	\$295.15	\$286.21*	N/A	CMAP, 2018
	Is per capita disbursement at least 80% of regional median?	Yes	Yes for 74% of municipalities	Yes for 100% of municipalities	CMAP, 2018
MOBILITY	Population with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	53.2%	65.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Jobs with at least moderately high transit availability	0.0%	55.2%	58.0%	CMAP, 2017
	Percent of trips to work via non-SOV modes	12.8%	29.8%	37.3%	2013-2017 ACS 5-Year

*Median value of region's 284 municipalities.

Community Data Snapshot: Yorkville

For More Information

Last updated June 2019

To improve the Community Data Snapshots in the future, CMAP wants to hear from you. Please take a quick [survey](#) to describe how you use this data and what you'd like to see in next year's snapshots.

Please direct inquiries to info@cmaphillinois.org. To access other Community Data Snapshots for municipalities and counties in the Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning's seven-county northeastern Illinois region, visit <http://www.cmap.illinois.gov/data/community-snapshots>.